FEE WAIVER - pending

MAY 15 2008

LEB IN FORMA PAUPEMIS (ORC 085)

ER ORDER DATED AMOUNT RECOVERABLE PURSUANT

Bar #: 185736

David C. Wakefield, Esq. 3033 Fifth Avenue, Suite 410

San Diego, CA 92103 Telephone: 619.858.3671 Facsimile: 619.858.3646

Attorneys for Plaintiff

PINNOCK & WAKEFIELD ONE TIME ADMINISTRATIVE FEE UPON JUDINE THE ADMINISTRATIVE FEE UPO

MAY 15 2008

JOHN A, CLARKE, CLERK VAIN, DEPUT

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

JON CARPENTER,

10

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23=

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff.

JONG H. LEE: BANG S. LEE: LEE TRUST; SHILOH FAMILY PHARMACY, INC.; And DOES 1 THROUGH 10. Inclusive

Case No.

BC390890

CIVIL COMPLAINT: DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES IN PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS [42 U.S.C. 12182(a) ET. SEQ; CIVIL CODE 51, 52, 54, 54.1, 54.31

UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE -PERMANENT INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Defendants.

NAMED DEFENDANTS AND NAMED PLAINTIFF

Plaintiff is informed, believes and thereon alleges that Defendants are, and, at all times mentioned herein, were, a business or corporation or franchise organized and existing and/or doing business under the laws of the State of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants JONG H. LEE; BANG S. LEE; LEE TRUST; SHILOH FAMILY PHARMACY, INC. are the owner, operator, and/or lessor/lessee of the real property and the public accommodation located thereon at the Property Address: 800 S ALVARADO ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90057. Defendant JONG H. LEE; BANG S. LEE; and LEE TRUST are located at 22802 SKYVIEW WAY, LAGUNA NIGUEL, CA 92677. Defendant SHILOH FAMILY PHARMACY, INC. c/o Registered Agent: LEONARD LEVINSON, located at 814 SOUTH

COMPLAINT

ALVARADO STREET, LOS ANGELES, CA 90057.

- 2. The words Plaintiff and Plaintiffs as used herein specifically include JON CARPENTER.
- 3. Defendants Does 1 through 10, were at all times relevant herein subsidiaries, employers, employees, agents, of Defendants JONG H. LEE; BANG S. LEE; LEE TRUST; SHILOH FAMILY PHARMACY, INC. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 10, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff will pray leave of the court to amend this complaint to allege the true names and capacities of the Does when ascertained.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that Defendants and each of them herein were, at all times relevant to the action, the owner, lessor, lessee, franchiser, franchisee, general partner, limited partner, agent, employee, representing partner, or joint venturer of the remaining Defendants and were acting within the course and scope of that relationship. Plaintiff is further informed and believe, and thereon allege, that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the acts alleged herein to each of the remaining Defendants.

CONCISE SET OF FACTS

- 5. Plaintiff JON CARPENTER (hereinafter "Plaintiff") has physical impairments and due to these impairments he has learned to successfully operate a wheelchair for mobility. Plaintiff said physical impairments substantially limit one or more of the following major life activities including but not limited to: walking.
- Plaintiff has physical impairments because their conditions affect one or more of the following body systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, and/or cardiovascular. Further, Plaintiff said physical impairments substantially limits one or more of the following major life activities. In addition, Plaintiff cannot perform one or more of the said major life activities in the manner, speed, and duration when compared to the average person. Moreover, Plaintiff has a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment as required by 42 U.S.C. § 12102(2)(A).
 - On January 15, 2008, Plaintiff JON CARPENTER wanted to visit Defendants' public

2

COMPLAINT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

accommodation facilities located at Property Address: 800 S ALVARADO ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90057 to utilize their goods and/or services. When Plaintiff JON CARPENTER patronized Defendants' public accommodation facilities, he was unable to use and/or had difficulty using the public accommodations' facilities including but not limited to the barriers to access listed herein and said facilities were not accessible because they failed to comply with ADA Access Guidelines For Buildings and Facilities (hereafter referred to as "ADAAG" and codified in 28 C.F.R. Part 36, App. A) and/or California's Title 24 Building Code Requirements. Defendants failed to remove barriers to equal access within their public accommodation facilities as required.

- 8. Plaintiff JON CARPENTER was deterred from visiting the property due to said access barriers as listed herein to the present Complaint at Defendants' public accommodation facilities located on the Property and/or has knowledge of said access barriers and is presently deterred from accessing the public accommodation. Plaintiff alleges that these known barriers to access are not an exhaustive list of the barriers to access that exist at Defendants' facilities.
- 9. Defendants failed to provide auxiliary aids and services that are necessary to ensure equal access to the goods, services, privileges, or accommodations that it offers. Title 28, part 36.303 of Code of Federal Regulations states:
- (a) General. A public accommodation shall take those steps that may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the public accommodation can demonstrate that taking those steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations being offered or would result in an undue burden, i.e., significant difficulty or expense.
- (b) Examples. The term "auxiliary aids and services" includes:
 - (1) Qualified interpreters, notetakers, computer-aided transcription services, written materials, telephone handset amplifiers, assistive listening devices, assistive listening systems, telephones compatible with hearing aids, closed caption decoders, open and closed captioning, telecommunications devices for deaf persons (TDD's), videotext

displays, or other effective methods of making aurally delivered materials available to individuals with hearing impairments;

- (2) Qualified readers, taped texts, audio recordings, Brailled materials, large print materials, or other effective methods of making visually delivered materials available to individuals with visual impairments;
- (3) Acquisition or modification of equipment or devices; and
- (4) Other similar services and actions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

26

- (c) Effective communication. A public accommodation shall furnish appropriate auxiliary aids and services where necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities.
- Plaintiff can prove these barriers as Plaintiff conducted a preliminary survey of 10. Defendants' facility. Plaintiff specifically alleges that Defendants knew, to a substantial certainty, that the architectural barriers precluded equal access. First, Plaintiff will prove that Defendants had actual knowledge that the architectural barriers precluded equal access and that the noncompliance with ADAAG as to accessible entrances was intentional. Second, due to the abundance of ADA information and constant news covers of ADA lawsuits, Defendants had actual knowledge of the ADA and decided deliberately not to remove architectural barriers. Third, Defendants have no plans to remodel. Fourth, Defendants had actual knowledge of ADA given all the ADA public awareness campaigns, the abundance of free ADA information and the media's constant ADA coverage. Fifth, a human being acting for the defendants made a conscious decision as to how to proceed given the presence of the architectural barriers. Plaintiff alleges any alternative methods preclude integration of disabled patrons, as it requires them to use second-class facilities. Also, expert testimony will show the facility contained inaccessible features. Plaintiff alleges businesses often state that they have few customers with disabilities. Plaintiff alleges such customers avoid patronizing inaccessible businesses and are deterred from patronizing such businesses.
- 11. For example, there is no van accessible parking and no van sign. Also, the accessible

- 12. Plaintiff intends to return to Defendants' public accommodation facilities in the immediate future. Plaintiff was deterred and is presently deterred from returning due to his knowledge of the barriers to access that exist at Defendants' facilities.
- 13. Pursuant to federal and state law, Defendants are required t\o remove barriers to their existing facilities. Further, Defendants had actual knowledge of their barrier removal duties under the Americans with Disabilities Act and the Civil Code before January 26, 1992. Also, Defendants should have known that individuals with disabilities are not required to give notice to a governmental agency before filing suit alleging Defendants failed to remove architectural barriers.
- 14. Plaintiff believes and herein alleges Defendants' facilities have access violations not directly experienced by Plaintiff which would preclude or limit access by Plaintiff potentially including but not limited to violations of the ADA, ADA Accessibility Guidelines (Codified in 28 C.F.R. Part 36, App. A) and Title 24 of the California Building Code. Plaintiff alleges Defendants are required to utilize the ADA checklist for Readily Achievable Barrier Removal approved by the United States Department of Justice and created by Adaptive Environments. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to remove all barriers to access that are related to his disability even those barriers that are only known to exist but are not directly experienced by plaintiff. *Doran v 7-Eleven Inc*, 2007 U.S.App.Lexis 26143 (9th Cir 2007).
- 15. Based on these facts, Plaintiff alleges he was discriminated against each time he patronized and/or was deterred from patronizing Defendants' facilities. Plaintiff was extremely upset due to Defendants' conduct.
- Plaintiff is not required to provide notice to the defendants prior to filing a complaint and/or to recover attorney fees and costs. *Botosan v. Paul McNally Realty*, 216 F.3d 827, 832 (9th Cir 2000). *Skaff v Meridien*, 2007 U.S. App. LEXIS 25516 (9th Cir 2007).

23 /

COMPLAINT

W

3

5

1

2

6 7

8

10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18

19

22

21

23" 24"

25

26[‡]

27 28

₈ || Claim IV

WHAT CLAIMS ARE PLAINTIFF ALLEGING AGAINST EACH NAMED

DEFENDANT

- 17. Defendants JONG H. LEE; BANG S. LEE; LEE TRUST; SHILOH FAMILY PHARMACY, INC. and Does 1 through 10 will be referred to collectively hereinafter as "Defendants."
- 18. Plaintiff avers that the Defendants are liable for the following claims as alleged below:

DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES IN PUBLIC ACCOMMODATIONS

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS- Claims Under The Americans
With Disabilities Act Of 1990

Claim I

19. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation as Defendants own, lease (or lease to), or operate a place of public accommodation in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

Claim II

20. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, to a denial of the opportunity of the individual or class to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

Claim III

21. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as it is discriminatory to afford an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements with the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is not equal to that afforded to other individuals in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

discriminatory to provide an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is different or separate from that provided to other individuals.

Claim V

23. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as Defendants

23. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as Defendants failed to afforded to an individual with a disability in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of the individual in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as it is

Claim VI

24. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as Defendants utilized standards or criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability; or that perpetuate the discrimination of others who are subject to common administrative control in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182.

Claim VII

25. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as it is discriminatory to exclude or otherwise deny equal goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations, or other opportunities to an individual or entity because of the known disability of an individual with whom the individual or entity is known to have a relationship or association in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182. See Niece v. Fitzner 922 F. Supp. 1208 (1996)

Claim VIII

26. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as Defendants engaged in the specific prohibitions as stated in 42 U.S.C. §12182.

Claim IX

27. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as Defendant failed to demonstrate that the removal of a barrier is not readily achievable, and made such goods,

services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations available through alternative methods in a segregated manner in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12182. Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief to remove all barriers to access that are related to his disability even those barriers that are only known to exist but are not directly experienced by plaintiff. *Doran v 7-Eleven Inc*, 2007 U.S.App.Lexis 26143 (9th Cir 2007).

Claim X

- 28. Based on the facts stated above, Defendants discriminated against Plaintiff as Defendants altered the use of their establishment in a manner that affected or could have affected the usability of the facility or part thereof and failed to make alterations in such a manner that, to the maximum extent feasible, the altered portions of the facility are readily accessible to and usable by individuals with disabilities in violation of 42 U.S.C. §12183.
- 29. WHEREFORE, Plaintiff pray for judgment and relief as hereinafter set forth.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS - <u>CLAIMS UNDER</u> <u>CALIFORNIA ACCESSIBILITY LAWS</u>

CLAIM I: Denial Of Full And Equal Access

30. Based on the facts plead above and elsewhere in this complaint, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendants as required by Civil Code Sections 54 and 54.1.

CLAIM II: Failure To Modify Practices, Policies And Procedures

31. Based on the facts plead above and elsewhere herein this complaint, Defendants failed and refused to provide a reasonable alternative by modifying its practices, policies, and procedures in that they failed to have a scheme, plan, or design to assist Plaintiff and/or others similarly situated in entering and utilizing Defendants' services as required by Civil Code § 54.1. Thus, Plaintiff was subjected to discrimination in violation of Civil Code § 54.1.

CEAIM III: Violation Of The Unruh Act

32. Based on the facts plead above and elsewhere herein this complaint and because

Defendants violated the Civil Code § 51 by failing to comply with 42 United States Code § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iv) and 42 U.S.C. § 12183(a)(2), Defendants did and continue to knowingly discriminate against Plaintiff and persons similarly situated in violation of Civil Code §§ 51, 52, and 54.1. Plaintiff alleges the access violations alleged here are so obvious as to implicate at least a prima facie case of discriminatory intent.

- 33. Based on the facts plead above, Claims I, II, and III of Plaintiffs' Second Cause Of Action above, and the facts elsewhere herein this complaint, Plaintiff will suffer irreparable harm unless Defendants are ordered to remove architectural, non-architectural, and communication barriers at Defendants' public accommodation. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants' discriminatory conduct is capable of repetition, and this discriminatory repetition adversely impacts Plaintiff and a substantial segment of the disability community. Plaintiff alleges there is a state and national public interest in requiring accessibility in places of public accommodation. Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress the discriminatory conduct of Defendants. Plaintiff desires to return to Defendants' places of business in the immediate future. Accordingly, the Plaintiff alleges that a structural or mandatory injunction is necessary to enjoin compliance with state civil rights laws enacted for the benefit of individuals with disabilities.
- 34. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for damages and relief as hereinafter stated.

DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT FOR RELIEF:

- For injunctive relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188(a).
- B. For general damages pursuant to Cal. Civil Code §§ 52 or 54.3;

27

		CM-010
Theodore A. Pinnock, Esq. Bar #: 153434; David C. Michelle L. Wakefield, Esq. Bar #: 200424		FOR COURT USE ONLY FILED SANGELES SUPERIOR COURT
TELEPHONE NO.: 619.536.3671 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PLAINTIFF SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS A STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street		MAY 1.5 2008
MAILING ADDRESS: CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, California 90012 BRANCH NAME: Central District Stanley Mosk Cour	thouse	JOHN A. CLABKE, CLERK
CASE NAME: JON CARPENTER v. JONG I. KOH; E		BY D.M. SWAIN, DEPUTY
☑ Unlimited ☐ Limited ☐	Complex Case Designation Counter	CASE NUMBER: BC390890
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)	I with first appearance by defendant (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.402))	DEPT:
1. Check one box below for the case type that best d	be completed (see instructions on plescribes this case:	page 2).
Auto Tort Contra	act Prov	visionally Complex Civil Litigation Rules of Court, rules 3.400~3.403) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18) Other contract (37) Property	Construction defect (10) Mass tort (40) Securities litigation (28)
Product flability (24) Medical malpractice (45) Other PI/PD/WD (23)	Eminent domain/Inverse condemnation (14) Wrongful eviction (33)	Environmental/Toxic tort (30) Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort ☐ Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Unlaw ☐ Civil rights (08)	Commercial (31)	types (41) nforcement of Judgment Enforcement of judgment (20) liscellaneous Civil Complaint
Interection property (10)	Residential (32) M Drugs (38) C Ilcial Review Asset forfeiture (05)	
Employment Wrongful termination (36) Other employment (15)	Petition re: arbitration award (11) Writ of mandate (02) Other judicial review (39)	fiscellaneous Civil Petition Partnership and corporate governance (21) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
the factors requiring exceptional judicial manager a. Large number of separately represented b. Extensive motion practice raising difficul issues that will be time-consuming to re- c. Substantial amount of documentary evic	ment: d parties d.	es of Court. If the case is complex, mark if witnesses th related actions pending in one or more cour s, states, or countries, or in a federal court tjudgment judicial supervision
 Type of remedies sought (check all that apply): a. ☐ monetary b. ☐ nonmonetary; dec 	claratory or injunctive relief c.] punitive
 4. Number of causes of action (specify): 5. This case ☐ is ☒ is not a class action 6. If there are any known related cases, file and set Date: 	on suit. rve a notice of related case. (You m	ev use form-CM-015.)
Theodore A. Pinnock (TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGN	NATURE OF PARTY OF ATTORNEY FOR PARTY).
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the first paper file Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Institutions Code). File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required the State of the Country of the Country parties to the action or proceeding.	NOTICE ed in the action or proceeding (except sm. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure aired by local court rule.	nall claims cases or cases filed under the Probate e to file may result in sanctions.

INSTRUCTIONS HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you not specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you not provide the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be include any unit your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case and action for recovery of money to both to sanctions under rules 3.740 collections case of the california san action seeking from a transaction owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking from a transac

designation that the case is complex.

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/ProperDama

Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto)

Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death)

Asbestos (04)
Asbestos Property Damage
Asbestos Personal Injury/ Asbestos Personal Injury/
Wrongful Death
Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Malpractice (45)
Medical Malpractice—
Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)
Intentional Bodity Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism)
Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
Other PI/PD/WD

Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort Business Tort/Unfair Business

Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13) Fraud_g(16) Intellectual Property (19)
Professional Negligence (25)
Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Employment

Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Contract

Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) tyge/Wrongful Death
Contract (not unlawful detainer

or wrongful eviction)
Contract/Warranty Breach—Seller
Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence)
Negligent Breach of Contract/
Warranty
Other Breach of Contract/Warranty
Collections (e.g., money owed, open
book accounts) (09)
Collection Case—Seller Plaintiff
Other Promissory Note/Collections
Case
Insurance Coverage (not providence) Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18)
Auto Subrogation
Other Coverage

Other Contract Dispute

Other Contract (37)
Contractual Fraud

Real Property
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure)

Unjawful Detainer

Commercial (31) Residential (32)
Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential)

Judicial Review

Asset Forfeiture (05)
Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39)
Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor Commissioner Appeals

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules Breach of Rental/Lease 1800-1800-1812) ach of Rental/Lease 1800–18
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
Construction Defect (10)
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
Insurance Coverage Claims
(arising from provisionally
complex case type listed above) (41)

Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County)
Confession of Judgment (nondomestic relations)
Sister State Judgment
Administrative Agency Award
(not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
Other Enforcement of Judgment Case

Miscellaneous Civil Complaint

RICO (27) Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) Mechanics Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)
Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)

Miscellaneous Civil Petition

Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) Civil Harassment Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse **Election Contest** Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief from Late Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET

100 miles

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER	5070000
JON CARPENTER v. JONG I. KOH; ET AL		BC390890

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION

		ICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE I	
		uant to LASC Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los A	ngeles Superior Court.
	• • •	aring and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:	
		SS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL_	
		rict and courthouse location (4 steps – If you checked "Limited Case", ski	
•	•	g the Civil Case Cover Sheet Form, find the main civil case cover sheet he right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selecte	. • .
		r Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature	
-		the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action	
		t location, see Los Angeles Superior Court Local Rule 2.0.	jou navo onconca.
	Applicab	le Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C belov	w)
1		ed in the County Courthouse. Central District. 6. Location of property or perm	anently garaged vehicle.
	May be filed in Central (C 3. Location where cause of	other county, or no Bodily Injury/Property Damage). 7. Location where petitioner researction arose. 8. Location where in defendant/ 9. Location where one or more not required or defendant resides. 10. Location of Labor Commission	sides
Step	4: Fill in the information	on requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration	1.
	Α	В	c
Ĕ	Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
ΑΓ	Uninsured Motorist (46)	1., 2., 4.	
		☐ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage	2.
ᅔᇼ	Asbestos (04)	☐ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2.
Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tort	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
ury/		☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons	4 2 4
Inj 9ful	Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 2., 4.
nal			1., 2., 4.
ersc \$/W	Other	A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)	1., 2., 4.
age	Personal Injury	☐ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)	
the	Property Damage Wrongful Death	☐ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress	1., 2., 4. 1., 2., 3.
Οü	(23)	☐ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 3.
. t :			1., 2., 4.
ert.	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 2., 3.
rop ath	Civil Rights (08)		
7/P D 0	- Civil ragiles (00)	☑ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
I Injui ingful	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
sona /Wro	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Tori			

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04 CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM
AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 1 of 4

Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage/	Wrongful Death Tort (Cont'd.)
	Employment

Contract

>
٣
ق
9
Ö
۵
_

2
<u>.</u>
ž
=
3
5
>
<u> </u>
₹
_
_
3
ø
5
Ü
r
_
亞
ತ
=

		·
SHORT TITLE:		CASE NUMBER
JON CARPENTER V. JONG I.	H; ET AL	<u>'</u>

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons -See Step 3 Above
Professional Negligence (25)	☐ A6017 Legal Malpractice ☐ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	☐ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not Unlawful Detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	☐ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff ☐ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
Insurance Coverage (18)	☐ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Other Contract (37)	A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
Wrongful Eviction (33)	☐ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Other Real Property (26)	☐ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure ☐ A6032 Quiet Title ☐ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Drugs (38)	☐ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.
Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition re Arbitration	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
(11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07)
LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0 Page 2 of 4



			CASE NUMBER	-
SHORT TITLE:			CASE NOMBER	
JON CARPENTER	v. JONG	I. KOH; ET AL		
				_

Δ	В	С
Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
outugot y 1101	A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	
Writ of Mandate	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2., 8.
	☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2.
(02)		2.
Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ / Judicial Review	2., 8.
Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction defect	1., 2., 3.
Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
Securities Litigation (28)	☐ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	☐ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	☐ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2., 9.
Enforcement	☐ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2., 6.
of Judgment	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9.
(20)	☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2., 8.
	☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2.,8.
	☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8., 9.
RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
	☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1., 2., 8.
Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2., 8.
(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
(42)	☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8.
Partnership Corporation Governance(21)	☐ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
	☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2., 3., 9.
7	☐ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2., 3., 9.
Other Petitions	☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2., 3., 9.
(Not Specified Above)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2.
(43)	☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name	2., 7.
	☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2., 3., 4., 8.
t .	☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2., 9.

Judicial Review (Cont'd.)

Provisionally Complex Litigation

Enforcement of Judgment

Miscellaneous Civil Complaints

Miscellaneous Civil Petitions

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you select REASON: CHECK THE NUMBER UNDER COLUMN C WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE 1131 CRENSHAW BLVD CITY: LOS ANGELES STATE: ZIP CODE: 90019 Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the courthouse in the District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2. subds. (b), (c) and (d)). Dated: CIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)	SHORT TITLE: JON CARPENTER V. JONG I	. KOH; ET	AL		CASE NUMBER	
WHICH APPLIES IN THIS CASE 1131 CRENSHAW BLVD						
Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the courthouse in the District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2. subds. (b), (c) and (d)). Dated: District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2.					SHAW BLVD	
Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the courthouse in the District of the Los Angeles Superior Court (Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and LASC Local Rule 2. subds. (b), (c) and (d)).	□1. □2. □3. □4. □5. □	⊒6. □7. □8	. □9. □10.			
true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the						
Dated: 5/5/04	true and correct and that the ab	ove-entitled r	natter is properly t	iled for assignme	nt to thecourth	ouse in the
	i-1-1-1	_			(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)	-

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet form CM-010.
- 4. Complete Addendum to Civil Case Cover Sheet form LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07), LASC Approved 03-04.
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- Signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, JC form FL-935, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age, or if required by Court.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 01/07) LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM
AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

LASC, rule 2.0

